

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	· CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,979	09/27/2006	Michael Lenz	095309.57424US	8852
23911 CROWELL &	7590 02/06/2008 MORING LLP		EXAM	INER
	AL PROPERTY GROUP	and the Company of the second of the second	HOANG, JOHNNY H	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300		3747		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· ·	10/568,979	LENZ, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHNNY H. HOANG	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED ATTEMPT OF THIS COMMUNICATED ATTEMPT OF THE STATE OF TH	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matter	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 and 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2006 in Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	8) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/21/06.	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7, 9-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Reuschenbach et al (US 5,522,367). The reference discloses a method and device for predicting a load signal in connection with the control of an internal combustion engine having an exhaust gas purification system including the following subject matters: carrying out intake air throttling to raise an exhaust gas temperature in a low-load mode and in a traction mode, determining an amount of intake air throttling as a function of an expected load demand so that, with an expected unchanged or decreasing load demand, an increased or maximum possible intake air throttling is set, and with an expected rising load demand, no or a reduced intake air throttling is set, wherein the expected load demand is estimated based on operating and ambient parameters of the internal combustion engine (see summary, col. 3, line 3 through col. 5, line 42). See entire document for further details.
- 4. Claims 7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Watt et al (US 5,995,895). The reference discloses a control system for controlling a vehicle system including the following subject matters: carrying out intake air throttling to raise an exhaust gas temperature in a low-load mode and in a traction mode, determining an amount of intake air throttling as a function of an expected load demand so that, with an expected unchanged or decreasing load demand, an increased or maximum possible intake air throttling is set, and with

Application/Control Number: 10/568,979

Art Unit: 3747

an expected rising load demand, no or a reduced intake air throttling is set, wherein the

expected load demand is estimated based on operating and ambient parameters of the internal

combustion engine (see the background and summary of the invention) which applied for claims

7, 9-10 and 13. The reference further discloses a measurement of the distance from a vehicle

traveling ahead (col. 13, lines 1-9) which applied for claims 11 and 12.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The cited references are related to the traction control system for motor vehicle.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to JOHNNY H. HOANG whose telephone number is (571)272-4843.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

JHH

November 7, 2003

Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Page 3

Willis R. Wolfa
Primary Examiner
A-+ Unit 1724